Optimal L^p-Riemannian Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities

Jurandir Ceccon *

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal do Paraná,

Caixa Postal 019081, 81531-990, Curitiba, PR, Brazil

Marcos Montenegro †

Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais,

Caixa Postal 702, 30123-970, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

Abstract

Let (M,g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and $1 < p \leq 2$. In this work we prove the validity of the optimal Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\left(\int_M |u|^r dv_g\right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \leq \left(A_{opt}\int_M |\nabla u|_g^p dv_g + B\int_M |u|^p dv_g\right)\left(\int_M |u|^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}$$

for a family of parameters r, q and θ. Our proof relies strongly on a new distance lemma which holds for $1 < p \leq 2$. In particular, we obtain Riemannian versions of L^p-Euclidean Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities of [8] and extend the optimal L^2-Riemannian Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality of [5] in a unified framework.

1 Introduction and the main result

Best constants and sharp first-order Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds have been extensively studied in the last few decades and surprising results have been obtained by showing the influence of the geometry on such problems. Particularly, the arising of concentration phenomena has motivated the development of new methods in analysis, see [13], [2] and [11] for a complete survey. Our main interest in this work is the study of optimal L^p-Riemannian Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities. Such inequalities are connected for instance to a priori bounds of solutions of some elliptic equations, see [7].

* *E-mail addresses*: ceccon@mat.ufpr.br (J. Ceccon)

† *E-mail addresses*: montene@mat.ufmg.br (M. Montenegro)

Let $1 < p < n$ and $1 \leq q < r \leq p^*$, where $p^* = \frac{np}{n-p}$ is the Sobolev critical exponent. Denote by $D^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under the norm

$$||u||_{D^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .$$

The L^p-Euclidean Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality states that for some constant A,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^r dx \right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \leq A \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p dx \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} \tag{1}$$

for all $D^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\theta = \theta(p,q,r) = \frac{np(r-q)}{r(q(p-n)+np)} \in (0,1]$. According to [4], up to the constant A, these inequalities are all equivalent for p fixed. Designate by $A(p,q,r)$ the best constant associated to (1). Then, the optimal L^p-Euclidean Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality yields

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^r dx \right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \leq A(p,q,r) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p dx \right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} .$$

In [8], Del Pino and Dolbeault studied this inequality for $p < q < \frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}$ and $r = \frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}$. They proved that the extremal functions associated to $A(p,q) = A(p,q,\frac{p(q-1)}{p-1})$ are given precisely by $u(x) = \alpha w(\beta(x - x_0))$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta \neq 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and

$$w(x) = \left(1 + \frac{q-p}{p-1} |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{-\frac{p-1}{q-p}} , \tag{2}$$

and using this fact, they found

$$A(p,q) = \left(\frac{q-p}{p\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^p \left(\frac{pq}{n(q-p)} \right) \left(\frac{np - q(n-p)}{pq} \right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{q(p-1)}{q-p})\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{p-1}{p}\frac{np-q(n-p)}{q-p})\Gamma(\frac{n(p-1)}{p}+1)} \right)^{\frac{p}{n}} .$$

Let (M,g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$. An easy partition-of-unity argument and (1) lead to the existence of constants $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left(\int_M |u|^r dv_g \right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \leq \left(A \int_M |\nabla_g u|^p dv_g + B \int_M |u|^p dv_g \right) \left(\int_M |u|^q dv_g \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} \tag{$I_{p,q,r}(A,B)$}$$

for all $u \in D^{p,q}(M)$, where $D^{p,q}(M)$ stands for the completion of $C^\infty(M)$ with respect to the norm

$$||u||_{D^{p,q}(M)} = \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_M |u|^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_M |u|^q dv_g \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .$$

In this case, we say simply that $I_{p,q,r}(A,B)$ is valid. Such inequality is known as L^p-Riemannian Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality. As pointed out by Brouttelande [5], $I_{p,q,r}(A,B)$ are all equivalent, in the validity sense, for p fixed. This assertion follows from an adaption of the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [12].

The first best constant associated to $I_{p,q,r}(A,B)$ is defined by

$$A_{opt} = \inf\{A \in \mathbb{R} : \text{ there exists } B \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } I_{p,q,r}(A,B) \text{ is valid}\}$$

and the corresponding optimal inequality on $D^{p,q}(M)$ is $I_{p,q,r}(A_{opt},B)$, i.e

$$\left(\int_M |u|^r dv_g\right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \le \left(A_{opt}\int_M |\nabla_g u|^p dv_g + B\int_M |u|^p dv_g\right)\left(\int_M |u|^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} .$$

Using again the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [12], one may easily check that $A_{opt} = A(p,q,r)$. In particular, the explicit value of A_{opt} is known in the case $q > p$ and $r = \frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}$. Some special cases of optimal L^p-Riemannian Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities have been studied in the last years. For instance, the optimal L^p-Riemannian Sobolev inequality (i.e. $I_{p,q,r}(A_{opt},B)$ with $q = \frac{(n-1)p}{n-p}$ and $r = p^*$) was proved to be valid for $1 < p \le 2$, independently, by Aubin and Li [3] and Druet [10], with best constant A_{opt} found by Aubin [1] and Talenti [15]. The optimal L^2-Riemannian Nash inequality (i.e. $I_{p,q,r}(A_{opt},B)$ with $p = 2$, $q = 1$ and $r = 2$) was proved to be valid by Humbert [14] with best constant A_{opt} found by Carlen and Loss [6]. More generally, Brouttelande proved in [5] the validity of $I_{p,q,r}(A_{opt},B)$ for $p = 2$, $q < r$ and $1 \le q \le 2 \le r < 2 + \frac{2}{n}q$. In general, we cannot hope the validity of optimal L^p-Riemannian Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities for $p > 2$. In fact, let (M,g) be a n-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with scalar curvature $Scal_g$ positive in some point x_0 of M. Assume $1 < p < n$, $p < q < \frac{p(n-1)}{n-p}$ and $r = \frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$u_\varepsilon(\exp_{x_0}(x)) = \eta(x) w_\varepsilon(x),$$

where $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B_\delta)$ is a cutoff function with $\delta > 0$ smaller than the radius of injectivity of M, $w_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-\frac{n}{p^*}} w(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ and w is the extremal function given in (2). Arguing in the same spirit of Druet [9], after several straightforward computations, we find for $p > \max\{2, 2q/3\}$,

$$\frac{\frac{\left(\int_M |u_\varepsilon|^r dv_g\right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}}}{\left(\int_M |u_\varepsilon|^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}} - A_{opt}\int_M |\nabla_g u_\varepsilon|^p dv_g}{\int_M |u_\varepsilon|^p dv_g} =$$

$$\frac{\frac{1}{6n} Scal_g(x_0)\left(A_{opt}(I_1^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} I_2 + \frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q} I_1 I_4 I_3^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}-1}) - \frac{p}{r\theta} I_5\right)\varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2)}{o(\varepsilon^2)} \to +\infty$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, where I_k denotes the following integrals

$$I_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w^q \, dx, \quad I_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla w|^p |x|^2 \, dx, \quad I_3 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w^q |x|^2 \, dx,$$

$$I_4 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla w|^p \; dx, \quad I_5 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w^r |x|^2 \; dx \; .$$

When $r = p^*$, this result recovers the non-validity of [9] since the condition above on p yields $2 < p < \frac{n+2}{3}$.

Our main result states the validity of $I_{p,q,r}(A_{opt}, B)$ for $1 < p \leq 2$ and a family of parameters r and q.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$. If either $1 < p \leq 2$, $p < r$ and $1 \leq q < r < p^*$ or $p = r > 1$, $q \geq 1$ and $\frac{p^2}{2} \leq q < p$, then $I_{p,q,r}(A_{opt}, B)$ is valid.*

Riemannian versions of L^p-Euclidean Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities of [8] in the case $1 < p \leq 2$ are in particular included in our theorem. Theorem 1.1 also extends the optimal L^2-Riemmanian Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality of [5] for $r > 2$. Our proof is inspired in the works of Druet [10] and Brouttelande [5]. New technical difficulties however arise for other values of p. We remark that the proof given in [5] for $p = 2$ does not extend directly to $p \neq 2$. An illustrative example of this restriction is Lemma 2.3. In order to surround this obstacle, we use a version of the distance lemma (see the third step) which works well in the case $1 < p \leq 2$. Through these ideas it is also possible to simplify a little the proof of L^p-Riemannian Sobolev inequality given in [10].

The optimal L^p-Riemannian logarithmic Sobolev inequality states

$$\int_M |u|^p \log(|u|^p) dv_g \leq \frac{n}{p} \log \left(\mathcal{A}_{opt} \int_M |\nabla_g u|^p dv_g + B \right)$$

for all $u \in H^{1,p}(M)$ such that $\|u\|_{L^p} = 1$. The validity of this inequality is an open question for $1 < p < 2$. We remark that Theorem 1.1 provides a tool to investigate the validity of this inequality. In fact, consider the second best constant associated to $I_{p,q,r}(A, B)$ given by

$$B(p, q, r) = \inf\{B \in \mathbb{R} : \; I_{p,q,r}(A_{opt}, B) \; \text{ is valid}\} \; .$$

Let $q > p$ and $r = \frac{p(q-1)}{p-1}$. In this case, the value of A_{opt} is $A(p, q)$, see [7]. If $B(p, q, r)$ is bounded on q, then, taking $q \downarrow p$ in $I_{p,q,r}(A_{opt}, B(p, q, r))$, one obtains the desired logarithmic inequality as a limit case of optimal L^p-Riemannian Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities. Note, however, that the a priori estimates problem for the second best constant is in general quite delicate.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We proceed by contradiction as in [10] and [5]. So, for each $\alpha > 0$, one has

$$\nu_\alpha = \inf_{u \in E} J_\alpha(u) < A(p, q, r)^{-1}, \tag{3}$$

where $E = \{u \in D^{p,q}(M) : \ ||u||_{L^r(M)} = 1\}$ and

$$J_\alpha(u) = \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u|^p dv_g + \alpha \int_M |u|^p dv_g \right) \left(\int_M |u|^q dv_g \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} .$$

Since J_α is of class C^1, using standard variational arguments, we find a minimizer $u_\alpha \in E$ of J_α, i.e.

$$J_\alpha(u_\alpha) = \nu_\alpha = \inf_{u \in E} J_\alpha(u) . \tag{4}$$

One may assume $u_\alpha \geq 0$ since $\nabla_g |u_\alpha| = \pm \nabla_g u_\alpha$. Clearly, u_α satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$A_\alpha \Delta_{p,g} u_\alpha + \alpha A_\alpha u_\alpha^{p-1} + \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} B_\alpha u_\alpha^{q-1} = \mu_\alpha u_\alpha^{r-1}, \tag{5}$$

where $\Delta_{p,g} = -\mathrm{div}_g(|\nabla_g|^{p-2}\nabla_g)$ is the p-Laplace operator on M,

$$A_\alpha = \left(\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} ,$$

$$B_\alpha = \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g + \alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \right) \left(\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q} - 1}$$

and

$$\mu_\alpha = \frac{1}{\theta} \nu_\alpha .$$

By a regularity result due to Tolksdorf [16], it follows that u_α is of class C^1.

In the following, we divide the proof into three steps. Several possibly different positive constants independent of α are denoted by c and c_i. We also assume, without loss of generality, that the radius of injectivity of M is greater than 2.

First step: The following convergences

(a) $A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g \to A(p,q,r)^{-1}$,

(b) $\mu_\alpha \to \frac{A(p,q,r)^{-1}}{\theta}$,

(c) $\alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \to 0$,

(d) $B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g \to A(p,q,r)^{-1}$

hold as $\alpha \to \infty$.

Proof of (a): It follows from (3) and (4) that

$$\alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g < A(p,q,r)^{-1},$$

so that

$$A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \to 0 \ . \tag{6}$$

From $A_{opt} = A(p,q,r)$ and (3), one arrives at

$$1 \leq A_\alpha \left((A(p,q,r) + \varepsilon) \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g + B_\varepsilon \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \right)$$

for some constant B_ε independent of α. Letting $\alpha \to \infty$ and $\varepsilon \to 0$ and using (6), one has

$$\liminf_{\alpha \to \infty} \left(A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g \right) \geq A(p,q,r)^{-1} \ .$$

Noting that $A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g < A(p,q,r)^{-1}$ for all α, we conclude the part (a). ■

Proof of (b): This assertion follows directly from

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g \leq \nu_\alpha \leq A(p,q,r)^{-1}$$

and the part (a). ■

Proof of (c): Taking the limit in

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g + \alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g < A(p,q,r)^{-1}$$

and again using (a), we find (c). ■

Proof of (d): From definitions of A_α and B_α, one has

$$B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g = A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g + \alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \ .$$

So, the part (d) follows directly from (a) and (c). ■

Let $x_\alpha \in M$ be a maximum point of u_α, i.e

$$u_\alpha(x_\alpha) = ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)} \ . \tag{7}$$

Second step: Let $(c_\alpha)_{\alpha>0}$ be a family of positive numbers such that $\frac{A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{1-\frac{r}{p}}}{c_\alpha} \to 0$. Then

$$\int_{B(x_\alpha,c_\alpha)} u_\alpha^r dv_g \to 1 \ .$$

The proof of this step is fairly technical and long. In order to make it clear we state two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $D_\alpha = B(x_\alpha, A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{1-\frac{r}{p}})$. There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{\int_{D_\alpha} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \geq c$$

for α large.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. By (4) and (b) of the first step, one has

$$\alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq c \int_M u_\alpha^r dv_g \leq c||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{r-p} \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g,$$

so that

$$\alpha A_\alpha ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{p-r} \leq c \ . \tag{8}$$

In particular, $A_\alpha||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{p-r} \to 0$. For $x \in B(0,1)$, define

$$h_\alpha(x) = g(\exp_{x_\alpha}(A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{1-\frac{r}{p}}\ x)),$$

$$\varphi_\alpha(x) = ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{-1} u_\alpha(\exp_{x_\alpha}(A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{1-\frac{r}{p}}\ x)) \ .$$

Clearly, φ_α satisfies

$$\Delta_{p,h_\alpha}\varphi_\alpha + \alpha A_\alpha ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{p-r}\varphi_\alpha^{p-1} + \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} B_\alpha ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{q-r}\varphi_\alpha^{q-1} = \mu_\alpha \varphi_\alpha^{r-1} \ .$$

Arguing as in (8), we find

$$B_\alpha ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{q-r} \leq c,$$

so that all coefficients of the equation above are bounded. So, from $||\varphi_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)} \leq 1$ and an a priori estimate due to Tolksdorf [16], one concludes that φ_α converges to φ in $C^1_{loc}(B(0,1)))$. In particular, $\varphi \not\equiv 0$ since $\varphi_\alpha(0) = 1$ for all α. We claim that

$$1 \le ||u_\alpha||^{r-q}_{L^\infty(M)} A_\alpha^{\frac{\theta q}{p(1-\theta)}} \le c \tag{9}$$

for some $c > 0$ independent of α. In fact, by the Cartan expansion of h_α, we have

$$\int_{B(0,1)} \varphi_\alpha^r dv_{h_\alpha} \ge c \int_{B(0,\delta)} \varphi_\alpha^r dx \to c \int_{B(0,\delta)} \varphi^r dx > 0$$

for each $0 < \delta < 1$. Thus, from

$$\int_{B(0,1)} \varphi_\alpha^r dv_{h_\alpha} = \left(||u_\alpha||^{\frac{np+rp-nr}{p}}_{L^\infty(M)} A_\alpha^{\frac{n}{p}} \right)^{-1} \int_{D_\alpha} u_\alpha^r dv_g,$$

$$\frac{\theta q}{p(1-\theta)} = \frac{n(r-q)}{np+rp-nr} \tag{10}$$

and $||u_\alpha||_{L^r(M)} = 1$, we find

$$||u_\alpha||^{r-q}_{L^\infty(M)} A_\alpha^{\frac{\theta q}{p(1-\theta)}} = ||u_\alpha||^{\frac{np+rp-nr}{n}\frac{\theta q}{p(1-\theta)}}_{L^\infty(M)} A_\alpha^{\frac{\theta q}{p(1-\theta)}} = \left(||u_\alpha||^{\frac{np+rp-nr}{p}}_{L^\infty(M)} A_\alpha^{\frac{n}{p}} \right)^{\frac{\theta q}{n(1-\theta)}} \le c\ .$$

On the other hand, from the definition of A_α, we have

$$1 = \int_M u_\alpha^r dv_g \le ||u_\alpha||^{r-q}_{L^\infty(M)} A_\alpha^{\frac{\theta q}{p(1-\theta)}},$$

so that (9) holds. By (10), we may write

$$\int_{B(0,1)} \varphi_\alpha^q dv_{h_\alpha} = ||u_\alpha||^{\frac{nr-pq-np}{p}}_{L^\infty(M)} A_\alpha^{-\frac{n}{p}+\frac{\theta q}{p(1-\theta)}} \frac{\int_{D_\alpha} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} = \left(||u_\alpha||^{r-q}_{L^\infty(M)} A_\alpha^{\frac{\theta q}{p(1-\theta)}} \right)^{\frac{nr-pq-np}{p(r-q)}} \frac{\int_{D_\alpha} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g}\ .$$

The proof then follows from (9) and $\varphi_\alpha \to \varphi$ in $C_{loc}(B(0,1))$. ∎

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(c_\alpha)_{\alpha>0}$ be a family of positive numbers such that* $\frac{A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} ||u_\alpha||^{1-\frac{r}{p}}_{L^\infty(M)}}{c_\alpha} \to 0$. *Then*

$$\frac{\int_{B(x_\alpha,c_\alpha)} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \to 1\ .$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Let $\eta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R})$ be a cutoff function such that $\eta = 1$ on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\eta = 0$ on $[1, \infty)$ and $0 \le \eta \le 1$. Define $\eta_{\alpha,k}(x) = \eta(c_\alpha^{-1} d_g(x, x_\alpha))^{\tau^k}$, where $\tau = \frac{p^*}{q}$. Taking $u_\alpha \eta_{\alpha,k}^r$ as a test function in (5), one has

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g + A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-2} u_\alpha \nabla_g u_\alpha \cdot \nabla_g(\eta_{\alpha,k}^r) dv_g + \alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g$$

$$+ \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g = \mu_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^r \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g\ . \tag{11}$$

By $|\nabla_g \eta_{\alpha,k}| \leq \frac{c}{c_\alpha}$ and (9),

$$A_\alpha \int_{B(x_\alpha,c_\alpha)} u_\alpha^p |\nabla_g \eta_{\alpha,k}|^p dv_g \leq c\frac{A_\alpha}{c_\alpha^p} \int_{B(x_\alpha,c_\alpha)} u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq c\frac{A_\alpha}{c_\alpha^p} \left(\int_M u_\alpha^r dv_g\right)^{\frac{p}{r}} \left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,c_\alpha)} dv_g\right)^{1-\frac{p}{r}}$$

$$\leq c\frac{||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{(q-r)\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}}{c_\alpha^{\frac{rp-nr+np}{r}}} = c\left(\frac{||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{-\frac{r}{n}}}{c_\alpha}\right)^{\frac{rp-nr+np}{r}} \leq c\left(\frac{A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{1-\frac{r}{p}}}{c_\alpha}\right)^{\frac{rp-nr+np}{r}} . \tag{12}$$

So, by (a) of the first step, $r < p^*$ and the assumption of the lemma, one concludes that

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} u_\alpha |\nabla_g \eta_{\alpha,k}| dv_g \to 0 \ .$$

Using (b), (c) and (d) of the first step in (11), we find

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\left(A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g\right) + \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} A(p,q,r)^{-1} \lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\left(\frac{\int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g}\right)$$

$$= \frac{A(p,q,r)^{-1}}{\theta} \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \int_M u_\alpha^r \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g \ . \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $B_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_M u_\alpha^r \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g\right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \leq \left((A(p,q,r)+\varepsilon)\int_M |\nabla_g (u_\alpha \eta_{\alpha,k})|^p dv_g + B_\varepsilon \int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g\right)\left(\int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_{\alpha,k}^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} .$$

So, by the definition of A_α, one has

$$\left(\int_M u_\alpha^r \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g\right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \leq (A(p,q,r)+\varepsilon)\left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g\right)\left(\int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_{\alpha,k}^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}$$

$$+ cA_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} \eta_{\alpha,k}^{p-1} u_\alpha |\nabla_g \eta_{\alpha,k}| dv_g + cA_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p |\nabla_g \eta_{\alpha,k}|^p dv_g + cA_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \ . \tag{14}$$

Therefore, by (12) and (c) of the first step,

$$\lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\left(\int_M u_\alpha^r \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g\right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \leq A(p,q,r) \lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\left(A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g\right) \lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\left(\frac{\left(\int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_{\alpha,k}^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}}{A_\alpha}\right) . \tag{15}$$

Let

$$X_k = A(p,q,r) \lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\left(A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g\right), \quad Y_k = A(p,q,r) \lim_{\alpha\to\infty}\left(A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g\right)$$

$$Z_k = \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \int_M \eta_{\alpha,k}^r u_\alpha^r dv_g, \quad \lambda_k = \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \frac{\int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_k = \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \frac{\int_M (\eta_{\alpha,k} u_\alpha)^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \ .$$

Then, (13) and (15) may be written as

$$\lambda_k = \frac{1}{1-\theta}(Z_k - \theta X_k) \tag{16}$$

and

$$Z_k^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \le Y_k \tilde{\lambda}_k^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}},$$

or equivalently,

$$\lambda_k = \frac{1}{1-\theta} Y_k^{\frac{r\theta}{p(1-\theta)}} \left(Z_k Y_k^{-\frac{r\theta}{p(1-\theta)}} \tilde{\lambda}_k^{-\frac{r}{q}} - \theta X_k Y_k^{-\frac{r\theta}{p(1-\theta)}} \tilde{\lambda}_k^{-\frac{r}{q}} \right) \tilde{\lambda}_k^{\frac{r}{q}}$$

and

$$Y_k^{-\frac{r\theta}{p(1-\theta)}} \tilde{\lambda}_k^{-\frac{r}{q}} \le Z_k^{-\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \ .$$

These relations lead us to

$$\lambda_k \le \frac{1}{1-\theta} Y_k^{\frac{r\theta}{p(1-\theta)}} \left(Z_k^{1-\frac{1}{1-\theta}} - \theta X_k Z_k^{-\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \right) \tilde{\lambda}_k^{\frac{r}{q}}. \tag{17}$$

Define $f(x,z) = z^{1-\frac{1}{1-\theta}} - \theta x z^{-\frac{1}{1-\theta}}$. It follows easily that f is non-increasing in z for $0 < x \le z$ and non-decreasing in z for $x \ge z > 0$. Noting that $Z_k = \theta X_k + (1-\theta)\lambda_k$ implies that either $\lambda_k \le Z_k \le X_k$ or $X_k \le Z_k \le \lambda_k$, then $f(X_k, Z_k) \le f(X_k, X_k)$. So, by (17),

$$\lambda_k \le \left(Y_k^{\frac{r}{p}} X_k^{-1} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}} \tilde{\lambda}_k^{\frac{r}{q}} \ .$$

On the other hand, from (a) of the first step, one has

$$Y_k^{\frac{r}{p}} \le \left[A(p,q,r)^{\frac{p}{\theta}} \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \left(A_\alpha \int_M \eta_{\alpha,k}^r |\nabla u_\alpha|_g^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{p}{r}} \lim_{\alpha\to\infty} \left(A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla u_\alpha|_g^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{r-p}{r}} \right]^{\frac{r}{p}} = X_k \ .$$

So,

$$\lambda_k \le \tilde{\lambda}_k^{\frac{r}{q}} \ . \tag{18}$$

By Lemma 2.1, for any k, we have

$$0 < c < \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{\int_{B(x_\alpha, \frac{c_\alpha}{2})} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \leq \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{\int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_{\alpha,k}^r dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} = \lambda_k \ .$$

Since $q < r$ and $\tau = \frac{p^*}{q}$, one concludes easily from (18) that

$$\lambda_k \leq \tilde{\lambda}_k \leq \lambda_{k-1} \ .$$

These inequalities lead us to

$$0 < c < \cdots \leq \lambda_{k+1} \leq \tilde{\lambda}_{k+1} \leq \lambda_k \leq \cdots \leq \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{\int_{B(x_\alpha, c_\alpha)} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \ .$$

Noting that

$$\lambda_0 = \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{\int_{B(x_\alpha, c_\alpha)} \eta_{\alpha,0}^r u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \leq \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{\int_{B(x_\alpha, c_\alpha)} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \leq 1,$$

it follows from (18) that $c < (\lambda_0^{\frac{r}{q}})^k \leq 1$ for all k. Therefore, $\lambda_0 = 1$ and this concludes the proof. ■

Proof of the second step: From (9) and Lemma 2.2, we find

$$\int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha, c_\alpha)} u_\alpha^r dv_g \leq A_\alpha^{\frac{\theta q}{p(1-\theta)}} ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{r-q} \frac{\int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha, c_\alpha)} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \leq c \frac{\int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha, c_\alpha)} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \to 0 \ .$$

So,

$$\int_{B(x_\alpha, c_\alpha)} u_\alpha^r dv_g \to 1$$

since $||u_\alpha||_r = 1$. ■

The next step states a priori estimates of u_α in two distinct cases: $p < r$ and $p = r$. Note that here it arises a discontinuity interesting phenomenon with respect to p. Such estimates involve the weight A_α and this dependence is essential in proof of Theorem 1.1.

Third step: There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

(a) $A_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}} d_g(x, x_\alpha) u_\alpha(x)^{\frac{r-p}{p}} \leq c$ if $p < r$,

(b) $d_g(x, x_\alpha) u_\alpha(x)^{\frac{p}{n}} \leq c$ if $p = r$

for all $x \in B(x_\alpha, 1)$, where d_g stands for the distance with respect to g.

Proof of the third step: Suppose, by contradiction, that the assertion (a) is false. Set $w_\alpha(x) = A_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{r-p}} d_g(x, x_\alpha)^{\frac{p}{r-p}} u_\alpha(x)$. Let $y_\alpha \in \overline{B(x_\alpha, 1)}$ be a maximum point of w_α. Then, $w_\alpha(y_\alpha) = ||w_\alpha||_{L^\infty(B(x_\alpha,1))} \to \infty$. We first show that

$$B(y_\alpha, A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}}) \cap B(x_\alpha, w_\alpha(y_\alpha)^\nu A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}}) = \emptyset \tag{19}$$

for some $\nu > 0$ and α large. Note that this fact is clearly implied by

$$d_g(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \geq A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}} + w_\alpha(y_\alpha)^\nu A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{\frac{p-r}{p}} .$$

But this inequality is equivalent to

$$w_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{r-p}{p}-\nu} \geq w_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\nu} + u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{r-p}{p}} ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{\frac{p-r}{p}} .$$

Taking $0 < \nu < \frac{r-p}{p}$ we see that the inequality above holds for α large since $w_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\nu} \to 0$ and $w_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{r-p}{p}-\nu} \to \infty$. This ends the proof of (19). Note that $A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \to 0$ since $||w_\alpha||_{L^\infty(B(x_\alpha,1))} \to \infty$. For $x \in B(0,1)$, define

$$h_\alpha(x) = g(\exp_{y_\alpha}(A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}} x))$$

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-1} u_\alpha(\exp_{y_\alpha}(A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}} x)) .$$

Clearly, ψ_α satisfies

$$\Delta_{p,h_\alpha} \psi_\alpha + \alpha A_\alpha u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{p-r} \psi_\alpha^{p-1} + \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} B_\alpha u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{q-r} \psi_\alpha^{q-1} = \mu_\alpha \psi_\alpha^{r-1} . \tag{20}$$

Let $0 < c < 1$. We claim that $u_\alpha(y_\alpha) \geq c u_\alpha(x)$ for all $x \in B(y_\alpha, A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}})$ and α large. In fact, set $c_0 = c^{\frac{r-p}{p}}$. Then, $A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \leq (1 - c_0) d_g(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)$ for α large, so that

$$d_g(x_\alpha, x) \geq d_g(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) - d_g(x, y_\alpha) \geq d_g(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) - A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \geq c_0 d_g(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) .$$

So, the desired estimate follows directly from

$$A_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{r-p}} d_g(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)^{\frac{p}{r-p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha) = w_\alpha(y_\alpha) \geq w_\alpha(x) = A_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{r-p}} d_g(x_\alpha, x)^{\frac{p}{r-p}} u_\alpha(x) .$$

In particular,

$$||\psi_\alpha||_{L^\infty(B(0,1))} \leq c \tag{21}$$

for α large. By (20),

$$\int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla_{h_\alpha}\psi_\alpha|^{p-2}\nabla_{h_\alpha}\psi_\alpha \cdot \nabla_{h_\alpha}\phi \, dv_{h_\alpha} \le c\int_{B(0,1)} (\psi_\alpha^{r-p})\psi_\alpha^{p-1}\phi \, dv_{h_\alpha}$$

for all positive test function $\phi \in C_0^1(B(0,1))$. So, by Moser's iterative scheme, (9) and (10), it follows that

$$1 = \sup_{B(0,\frac{1}{2})} \psi_\alpha \le c\int_{B(0,1)} \psi_\alpha^r dv_{h_\alpha} = c\left(A_\alpha^{\frac{\theta q}{p(1-\theta)}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{r-q}\right)^{-\frac{n(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} \int_{\tilde{D}_\alpha} u_\alpha^r dv_g$$

$$\le c\left(\frac{||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}}{u_\alpha(y_\alpha)}\right)^{\frac{np-rn+pr}{p}} \int_{\tilde{D}_\alpha} u_\alpha^r dv_g,$$

where $\tilde{D}_\alpha = B(y_\alpha, A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}})$. This last inequality may be rewritten as

$$0 < c \le m_\alpha^\sigma \int_{\tilde{D}_\alpha} u_\alpha^r dv_g, \tag{22}$$

where $m_\alpha = \frac{||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}}{u_\alpha(y_\alpha)}$ and $\sigma = \frac{np-rn+pr}{p}$. The second step combined with (19) provide

$$\int_{\tilde{D}_\alpha} u_\alpha^r dv_g \to 0$$

as $\alpha \to \infty$, so that $m_\alpha \to \infty$. We now derive a contradiction from (22). From (21), (9) and (10), we find

$$m_\alpha^\sigma \int_{\tilde{D}_\alpha} u_\alpha^r dv_g \le m_\alpha^\sigma ||u_\alpha||^r_{L^\infty(\tilde{D}_\alpha)} (A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}})^n \le c m_\alpha^\sigma u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^r (A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}})^n \le c\ . \tag{23}$$

Consider the function $\eta_\alpha(x) = \eta(A_\alpha^{-1/p} d_g(x,y_\alpha) u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{r-p}{p}})$, where $\eta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a cutoff function such that $\eta = 1$ on $[0,\frac{1}{2}]$, $\eta = 0$ on $[1,\infty)$ and $0 \le \eta \le 1$. Taking $u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p$ as a test function in (5), one has

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_\alpha^p dv_g + pA_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-2} u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^{p-1} \nabla_g u_\alpha \cdot \nabla_g \eta_\alpha dv_g + \alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_\alpha^p dv_g$$
$$+ \frac{1-\theta}{\theta} B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_\alpha^p dv_g = \mu_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^r \eta_\alpha^p dv_g\ .$$

Clearly,

$$\left|\int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-2} u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^{p-1} \nabla_g u_\alpha \cdot \nabla_g \eta_\alpha \, dv_g\right| \le \varepsilon \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_\alpha^p dv_g + c_\varepsilon \int_{\tilde{D}_\alpha} |\nabla_g \eta_\alpha|^p u_\alpha^p dv_g\ .$$

From (21), (9) and (10), it follows that

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g \eta_\alpha|^p u_\alpha^p dv_g \le A_\alpha (A_\alpha^{-1/p} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{r-p}{p}})^p \int_{\tilde{D}_\alpha} u_\alpha^p dv_g \le c u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^r (A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p-r}{p}})^n \le c m_\alpha^{-\sigma}\ . \tag{24}$$

Consequently, these inequalities, (23) and (b) of the first step imply

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_\alpha^p dv_g + c\alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_\alpha^p dv_g + cB_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_\alpha^p dv_g \le c m_\alpha^{-\sigma} \ . \tag{25}$$

On the other hand, $I_{p,q,r}(A,B)$ provides

$$\left(\int_{\tilde{D}_\alpha} u_\alpha^r dv_g\right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \le \left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p)^r dv_g\right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \le c\left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_\alpha^{p^2} dv_g\right)\left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p)^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}$$

$$+ c\left(\int_M |\nabla_g \eta_\alpha|^p u_\alpha^p dv_g\right)\left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p)^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} + c\left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p)^p dv_g\right)\left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p)^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} \ . \tag{26}$$

Using (24) and (25), we may then estimate each term of the right-hand side of (26). By (a), (c) and (d) of the first step,

$$\left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_\alpha^{p^2} dv_g\right)\left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p)^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}$$

$$\le \left(\frac{A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_\alpha^p dv_g}{A_\alpha B_\alpha^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}}\right)\left(B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_\alpha^p dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} \le c m_\alpha^{-\sigma(1+\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q})},$$

$$\left(\int_M |\nabla_g \eta_\alpha|^p u_\alpha^p dv_g\right)\left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p)^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}$$

$$\le \frac{A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g \eta_\alpha|^p u_\alpha^p dv_g}{A_\alpha B_\alpha^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}}\left(B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_\alpha^p dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} \le c m_\alpha^{-\sigma(1+\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q})}$$

and

$$\left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p)^p dv_g\right)\left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p)^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}$$

$$\le \frac{A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_\alpha^p dv_g}{A_\alpha B_\alpha^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}}\left(B_\alpha \int_M \eta_\alpha^p u_\alpha^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} \le c m_\alpha^{-\sigma(1+\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q})} \ .$$

Replacing these estimates in (26), one has

$$\left(\int_{\tilde{D}_\alpha} u_\alpha^r dv_g\right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \le c m_\alpha^{-\sigma(1+\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q})},$$

so that

$$m_\alpha^\sigma \int_{\tilde{D}_\alpha} u_\alpha^r dv_g \le c m_\alpha^{\sigma(-\frac{r\theta}{p}(1+\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q})+1)} \ .$$

From the range of p, q and r, it follows easily that

$$-\frac{r\theta}{p}\left(1+\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}\right)+1<0\ .$$

So,

$$m_\alpha^\sigma \int_{\tilde{D}_\alpha} u_\alpha^r dv_g \to 0$$

since $m_\alpha \to \infty$. But this contradicts (22), and so the part (a) is proved.

In order to prove the part (b), we again argue by contradiction. Since part of the arguments are similar to those ones already used, we will omit some details. Set $w_\alpha(x) = d_g(x, x_\alpha)^{\frac{n}{p}} u_\alpha(x)$. Let $y_\alpha \in \overline{B(x_\alpha, 1)}$ be a maximum point of w_α. Then, $w_\alpha(y_\alpha) = ||w_\alpha||_{L^\infty(B(x_\alpha,1))} \to \infty$. Arguing as in (a), one has

$$B(y_\alpha, u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{p}{n}}) \cap B(x_\alpha, A_\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}} w_\alpha(y_\alpha)^\nu) = \emptyset \tag{27}$$

for some $\nu > 0$ and α large. From $w_\alpha(y_\alpha) \to \infty$, it follows easily that $a_\alpha = ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{-\frac{n+p^2}{pn}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{1}{p}} \to 0$. For $x \in B(0,1)$, we set

$$h_\alpha(x) = g(\exp_{y_\alpha}(a_\alpha x)),$$

$$\psi_\alpha(x) = u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-1} u_\alpha(\exp_{y_\alpha}(a_\alpha x))\ .$$

Clearly, ψ_α satisfies

$$\Delta_{p,h_\alpha}\psi_\alpha + \alpha a_\alpha^p \psi_\alpha^{p-1} + \frac{1-\theta}{\theta}\frac{a_\alpha^p B_\alpha u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{q-p}}{A_\alpha}\psi_\alpha^{q-1} = \mu_\alpha \frac{a_\alpha^p}{A_\alpha}\psi_\alpha^{p-1}.$$

We now verify that each coefficient of this equation is bounded. By (9) and (c) of the first step,

$$\alpha a_\alpha^p = \alpha ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{-\frac{n+p^2}{n}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha) \le c\alpha A_\alpha \le c\ .$$

By (d) of the first step, one has

$$B_\alpha ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{q-p} \le c,$$

so that, by (9),

$$\frac{a_\alpha^p B_\alpha u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{q-p}}{A_\alpha} \le c\frac{||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{-\frac{n+p^2}{n}+p-q} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{q-p+1}}{A_\alpha} \le c\frac{||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{-\frac{p^2}{n}}}{A_\alpha} \le c$$

since $q-p+1 \ge 0$, and

$$\frac{a_\alpha^p}{A_\alpha} = \frac{||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{-\frac{n+p^2}{n}} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)}{A_\alpha} \le c \ .$$

Arguing as in (21), one easily checks

$$||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(B(y_\alpha, u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{p}{n}}))} \le c u_\alpha(y_\alpha) \ . \tag{28}$$

Hence, we have

$$||\psi_\alpha||_{L^\infty(B(0,1))} \le c \ .$$

By Tolksdorf [16], we conclude that ψ_α converges to ψ in $C^1_{loc}(B(0,1))$. Noting that $\psi \not\equiv 0$, by (9),

$$0 < c \le \int_{B(0,1)} \psi_\alpha^q dv_{h_\alpha} = u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-q} a_\alpha^{-n} A_\alpha^{\frac{\theta q}{p(1-\theta)}} \frac{\int_{B(y_\alpha, a_\alpha)} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g}$$

$$\le c \left(\frac{||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}}{u_\alpha(y_\alpha)} \right)^{\frac{n+pq}{p}} \frac{\int_{B(y_\alpha, a_\alpha)} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \ . \tag{29}$$

Therefore, Lemma 2.2 and (27) imply

$$m_\alpha = \frac{||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}}{u_\alpha(y_\alpha)} \to \infty \ .$$

We now show by induction that exists $\gamma_k \to \infty$ such that, for each k,

$$m_\alpha^{\gamma_k} \int_{B(y_\alpha, 2^{-k} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\alpha^p dv_g \to 0 \ . \tag{30}$$

By (9) and (28),

$$\int_{B(y_\alpha, u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\alpha^p dv_g \le c m_\alpha^{p-q} \frac{\int_{B(y_\alpha, u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \ .$$

Then, applying Lemma 2.2 and (27), one obtains

$$m_\alpha^{p-q} \int_{B(y_\alpha, u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\alpha^p dv_g \le c \frac{\int_{B(y_\alpha, u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\alpha^q dv_g}{\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g} \to 0 \ .$$

Let $\gamma_0 = p - q$. Supposing that (30) holds for some $\gamma_k \ge \gamma_0$, we will then show that (30) also holds for $\gamma_{k+1} = \gamma_k(1+\nu)$, where $\nu > 0$ is a fixed positive number to be determined later. Consider the function $\eta_{\alpha,k}(x) = \eta(2^k u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p}{n}} d_g(y_\alpha, x))$, where $\eta \in C^1_0(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\eta = 1$ on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\eta = 0$ on $[1, \infty)$ and $0 \le \eta \le 1$. Taking $u_\alpha \eta_{\alpha,k}^p$ as a test function in (5) and using the first step, one finds

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g + \alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g + B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g$$

$$\leq c \int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g + c A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g \eta_{\alpha,k}|^p u_\alpha^p dv_g \ .$$

By (9) and (30), one has

$$\int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g \leq c m_\alpha^{-\gamma_k}$$

and

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g \eta_{\alpha,k}|^p u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq c m_\alpha^{-\gamma_k} \tag{31}$$

since $|\nabla_g \eta_{\alpha,k}| \leq c u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{\frac{p}{n}}$. Then,

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g + \alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g + B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g \leq c m_\alpha^{-\gamma_k} \ . \tag{32}$$

On the other hand, using $I_{p,q,r}(A,B)$, one has

$$\int_{B(y_\alpha, 2^{-(k+1)} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq \int_M (u_\alpha \eta_{\alpha,k}^p)^p dv_g$$

$$\leq c \left(\left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g + \int_M |\nabla_g \eta_{\alpha,k}|^p u_\alpha^p dv_g + \int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g \right) \left(\int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_{\alpha,k}^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} \right)^\theta \ .$$

Therefore, (31), (32) and (d) of the first step imply

$$\int_{B(y_\alpha, 2^{-(k+1)} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq c m_\alpha^{-\gamma_k (1 + \frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q})\theta} \ .$$

From the definition of θ, it follows that this estimate may be rewritten as

$$\int_{B(y_\alpha, 2^{-(k+1)} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq c m_\alpha^{-\gamma_{k+1}},$$

where

$$\gamma_{k+1} = \gamma_k \left(1 + \frac{p(p-q)}{n(p-q) + pq} \right) \ .$$

So, the proof follows by induction and, moreover, $\gamma_k \to \infty$. Fixing k large such that $\gamma_k \geq \frac{n+p^2}{p}$, we arrive at the following contradiction

$$0 < c \leq \int_{B(0,2^{-k})} \psi_\alpha^p dv_{h_\alpha} \leq u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{n+p^2}{p}} ||u_\alpha||_{L^\infty(M)}^{\frac{n+p^2}{p}} \int_{B(y_\alpha, 2^{-k} u_\alpha(y_\alpha)^{-\frac{p}{n}})} u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq c m_\alpha^{\frac{n+p^2}{p} - \gamma_k} \to 0 \ .$$

This ends the proof of the third step. ■

Final conclusions: In this last part, we will estimate several integrals by using the third step and will derive a contradiction at the end. Let $\eta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $\eta = 1$ on $[0,1)$, $\eta = 0$ on $[2, \infty)$ and $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$. Define $\eta_\alpha(x) = \eta(d_g(x, x_\alpha))$. The optimal Euclidean Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality provides

$$\left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,1)} u_\alpha^r dx \right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \leq \left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha)^r dx \right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \leq A(p,q,r) \left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} |\nabla (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha)|^p dx \right) \left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha)^q dx \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} .$$

Using normal coordinates and the Cartan expansion

$$(1 - c d_g(x, x_\alpha)^2) dv_g \leq dx \leq (1 + c d_g(x, x_\alpha)^2) dv_g,$$

we obtain

$$\left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,1)} u_\alpha^r dx \right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \leq A(p,q,r) \left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} |\nabla_g (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha)|^p dv_g + c \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} |\nabla_g (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha)|^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \right)$$

$$\times \left(A_\alpha + c \left(\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q} - 1} \left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha)^q d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \right) \right) \ .$$

Applying then the inequalities

$$|\nabla_g (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha)|^p \leq |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p + c |\eta_\alpha \nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} |u_\alpha \nabla_g \eta_\alpha| + c |u_\alpha \nabla_g \eta_\alpha|^p$$

and

$$A(p,q,r) \left(A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g \right) + A(p,q,r) \left(\alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \right) < 1,$$

one arrives at

$$A(p,q,r) \alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq 1 - \left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,1)} u_\alpha^r dx \right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} + c A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g + c A_\alpha \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} \eta_\alpha^p |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g$$

$$+ c \left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g \right) \left(\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q} - 1} \left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha)^q d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \right)$$

$$+ cA_\alpha \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} \eta_\alpha^{p-1} |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} |\nabla_g \eta_\alpha| u_\alpha dv_g \ . \tag{33}$$

We now estimate each term of the right-hand side of (33). By (8), we may apply the second step with $c_\alpha = 1$ for all α, so that $\int_{B(x_\alpha,\delta)} u_\alpha^r dv_g \to 1$. In particular, there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$c^{-1} \le \int_{B(x_\alpha,1)} u_\alpha^r dx \le c \ .$$

So, the Cartan expansion and $||u_\alpha||_{L^r(M)} = 1$ lead us to

$$1 - \left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,1)} u_\alpha^r dx \right)^{\frac{p}{r\theta}} \le c \left(1 - \int_{B(x_\alpha,1)} u_\alpha^r dx \right) \le c \int_{M\setminus B(x_\alpha,1)} u_\alpha^r dv_g + c \int_{B(x_\alpha,1)} u_\alpha^r d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \ . \tag{34}$$

Let $\zeta \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $0 \le \zeta \le 1$, $\zeta = 0$ on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\zeta = 1$ on $[1, \infty)$. Define $\zeta_\alpha = \zeta(d_g(x, x_\alpha))$. Taking $u_\alpha \zeta_\alpha^p$ as a test function in (5), one finds

$$A_\alpha \int_M \zeta_\alpha^p |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g \le c \int_M \zeta_\alpha^p u_\alpha^r dv_g + cA_\alpha \int_M \zeta_\alpha^{p-1} |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} |\nabla_g \zeta_\alpha| u_\alpha dv_g,$$

so that

$$A_\alpha \int_M \zeta_\alpha^p |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g \le cA_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g + c \int_{M\setminus B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^r dv_g \ . \tag{35}$$

This implies

$$A_\alpha \int_M \zeta_\alpha^p |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} u_\alpha dv_g \le cA_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g + c \int_{M\setminus B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^r dv_g \ . \tag{36}$$

Since $p \le 2$, it follows that

$$\int_M u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} d_g(x,x_\alpha) dv_g \le \varepsilon \int_M \eta_\alpha^p |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g + c_\varepsilon \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \ . \tag{37}$$

Taking $u_\alpha d_g^2 \eta_\alpha^p$ as a test function in (5), one has

$$A_\alpha \int_M \eta_\alpha^p |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \le c \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} u_\alpha^r d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g + cA_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} d_g(x,x_\alpha) dv_g$$

$$+ cA_\alpha \int_M \zeta_\alpha^p |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} u_\alpha dv_g \ .$$

So, from (36) and (37), we obtain

$$A_\alpha \int_M \eta_\alpha^p |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \le c \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} u_\alpha^r d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g + c \int_{M\setminus B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^r dv_g + cA_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \ . \tag{38}$$

It follows from (35) that

$$A_\alpha \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} \eta_\alpha^{p-1} |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} |\nabla_g \eta_\alpha| u_\alpha dv_g \leq c A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g + c \int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha,1)} u_\alpha^r dv_g \ . \tag{39}$$

Finally, taking $u_\alpha d_g^2 \eta_\alpha^p$ as a test function in (5), one has

$$B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \leq c \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} u_\alpha^r d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g + A_\alpha \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} \eta_\alpha^{p-1} |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} |\nabla_g \eta_\alpha| u_\alpha dv_g$$

$$+ \int_M u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} d_g(x,x_\alpha) dv_g \ . \tag{40}$$

Using (39), (37) and (38), one arrives at

$$B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \leq c A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g + c \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} u_\alpha^r d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g + c \int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^r dv_g,$$

or equivalently,

$$\left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p dv_g \right) \left(\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q} - 1} \left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha)^q d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \right) \leq c A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g$$

$$+ c \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} u_\alpha^r d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g + c \int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^r dv_g \ . \tag{41}$$

Replacing (34), (38), (41) and (39) in (33), we obtain

$$\alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq c A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g + c \int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^r dv_g + c \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} u_\alpha^r d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \ . \tag{42}$$

We now analyze separately the cases $p < r$ and $p = r$. For $p < r$, we use the part (a) of the third step and obtain

$$\int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^r dv_g = \int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} d_g(x,x_\alpha)^{-p} d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p u_\alpha^{r-p} u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq c A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g$$

and

$$\int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} u_\alpha^r d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g = \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} d_g(x,x_\alpha)^{2-p} d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p u_\alpha^{r-p} u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq c A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g$$

since $p \leq 2$. Replacing these inequalities in (42), one finds $\alpha \leq c$. This contradiction ends the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case $p < r$. Finally, consider the case $p = r$. From the part (b) of the third step, one has

$$\int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq \int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} d_g(x,x_\alpha)^{-p} d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p (u_\alpha^{\frac{p}{n}})^p u_\alpha^{\frac{np-p^2}{n}} dv_g \leq \int_M u_\alpha^{\frac{np-p^2}{n}} dv_g,$$

and

$$\int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} u_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g = \int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} d_g(x,x_\alpha)^{2-p} d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p (u_\alpha^{\frac{p}{n}})^p u_\alpha^{\frac{np-p^2}{n}} dv_g \le c \int_M u_\alpha^{\frac{np-p^2}{n}} dv_g \ . \tag{43}$$

If $q \le \frac{np-p^2}{n}$, by interpolation inequality, one concludes that

$$\int_M u_\alpha^{\frac{np-p^2}{n}} dv_g \le \left(\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{p^2}{n(p-q)}} = A_\alpha \ .$$

In this case, from (42), we again obtain the contradiction $\alpha \le c$. For $q \ge \frac{np-p^2}{n}$, we have

$$\int_M u_\alpha^{\frac{np-p^2}{n}} dv_g \le c \left(\int_M u_\alpha^q dv_g\right)^{\frac{np-p^2}{qn}} = c A_\alpha^{\frac{(n-p)(p-q)}{pq}} \ .$$

Set $\delta_0 = \frac{(n-p)(p-q)}{pq}$. Let $\zeta \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $0 \le \zeta \le 1$, $\zeta = 0$ on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\zeta = 1$ on $[1, \infty)$. Define $\zeta_\alpha = \zeta(d_g(x, x_\alpha))$. Taking $u_\alpha \zeta_\alpha^p$ as a function test in (5) and arguing in a similar manner to the proof of (30), one arrives at a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha, \frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^p dv_g \le c A_\alpha^{\delta_k},$$

where δ_k satisfies the recurrence relation, for any $k \ge 0$,

$$\delta_{k+1} = \delta_k \left(1 + \frac{p(p-q)}{q(p-n) + np}\right) \ .$$

In particular, $\delta_k \ge 1$ for k large. We now show that

$$\int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} u_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \le c A_\alpha^{\delta_k}$$

for all $k \ge 0$. From (43), we have

$$\int_{B(x_\alpha,2)} u_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \le c A_\alpha^{\delta_0} \ .$$

Let $\eta_\alpha(x) = \eta(d_g(x, x_\alpha)$, where $\eta \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\eta = 1$ on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, $\eta = 0$ on $[1, \infty)$ and $0 \le \eta \le 1$. Taking $u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p d_g^p$ as a test function in (5), we obtain

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p dv_g + \alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p dv_g + B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p dv_g$$

$$\le c A_\alpha^{\delta_0} + A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} \eta_\alpha^{p-1} u_\alpha |\nabla_g \eta_\alpha| d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p dv_g + A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} \eta_\alpha^p u_\alpha d_g(x,x_\alpha)^{p-1} dv_g,$$

so that, applying Hölder and Young inequalities,

$$A_\alpha \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p dv_g + \alpha A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p dv_g + B_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p dv_g$$

$$\leq c A_\alpha^{\delta_0} + c A_\alpha \int_M u_\alpha^p dv_g \leq c A_\alpha^{\delta_0} \ .$$

On the other hand, $I_{p,q,r}(A,B)$ and the hypothesis $\frac{p^2}{2} \leq q$ provide

$$\left(\int_{B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \leq \left(\int_M (u_\alpha \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^{\frac{2}{p}})^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}$$

$$\leq c \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g + \int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^{p-1} \eta_\alpha^{p-1} d_g(x,x_\alpha)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} u_\alpha |\nabla_g (\eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^{\frac{2}{p}})| dv_g \right.$$
$$\left. + \int_M u_\alpha^p |\nabla_g (\eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^{\frac{2}{p}})|^p dv_g + \int_M u_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \right) \left(\int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^{\frac{2q}{p}} dv_g \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}}$$
$$\leq c \left(\int_M |\nabla_g u_\alpha|^p \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g + \int_{M \setminus B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^p dv_g + 1 \right) \left(\int_M u_\alpha^q \eta_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^p dv_g \right)^{\frac{p(1-\theta)}{\theta q}} \leq c (A_\alpha^{\delta_0(1+\nu)})^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \ .$$

Hence,

$$\int_{B(x_\alpha,\frac{1}{2})} u_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \leq c A_\alpha^{\delta_1} \ .$$

Arguing by induction, it easily follows that

$$\int_{B(x_\alpha,1)} u_\alpha^p d_g(x,x_\alpha)^2 dv_g \leq c A_\alpha^{\delta_k}$$

for all $k \geq 0$. Combining this estimate with (42) we again arrive at the contradiction $\alpha \leq c$. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.1. ∎

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the referee for his valuable comments. The first author was partially supported by Brazilian Agency CNPq.

References

[1] T. Aubin - *Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev*, J. Differential Geom. 11 (4) (1976) 573-598.

[2] T. Aubin - *Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry*, in: Springer Monogr. Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[3] T. Aubin, Y.Y Li - *On the best Sobolev inequality*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 78 (1999), 353-387.

[4] D. Bakry, T. Coulhon, M. Ledoux, L. Sallof-Coste - *Sobolev inequalities in disguise*, Indiana J. Math., 44 (4) (1995) 1033-1074.

[5] C. Brouttelande - *The best-constant problem for a family of Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities on a compact Riemannian manifold*, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., 46 (2003) 147-157.

[6] E. Carlen, M. Loss - *Sharp constants in Nash's inequality*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 7 (1993) 213-215.

[7] M. Del Pino, J. Dolbeault - *Best constants for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and applications to nonlinear diffusions*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 81 (2002) 847-875.

[8] M. Del Pino, J. Dolbeault - *The optimal Euclidean L^p-Sobolev logarithmic inequality*, J. Funct. Anal. 197 (2003) 151-161.

[9] O. Druet - *Optimal Sobolev inequalities of arbitrary order on compact Riemannian manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. 159 (1998) 217-242.

[10] O. Druet - *The best constants problem in Sobolev inequalities*, Math. Ann. 314 (1999) 327-346.

[11] O. Druet, E. Hebey - *The AB program in geometric analysis: sharp Sobolev inequalities and related problems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 160 (761) (2002).

[12] O. Druet, E. Hebey, M. Vaugon - *Optimal Nash's inequalities on Riemannian manifolds: the influence of geometry*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 14 (1999) 735-779.

[13] E. Hebey - *Nonlinear analysis on manifolds: Sobolev spaces and inequalities*, in: Courant Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 5, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, 1999.

[14] E. Humbert - *Best constants in the L^2-Nash inequality*, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. 131 (2001) 621-646.

[15] G. Talenti - *Best constant in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (iv) 110 (1976) 353-372.

[16] P. Tolksdorf - *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Differential Equations, 51 (1) (1984) 126-150.